

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 ANTHONY BRODZKI,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JIMMY JACKS, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

2:12-CV-2060 JCM (RJJ)

14 **ORDER**

15 Presently before the court is *pro se* plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki's motion for temporary
16 restraining order. (Doc. #8). Plaintiff requests this court to "order the United States attorney to stop
17 all, mind, and body, including privacy violations of the mind immediately to (sic) this can get
18 resolved." (Doc. #8). Plaintiff previously moved for a temporary restraining order (doc. #6), and the
19 court denied that motion (doc. #7).

20 According Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, a court may issue a temporary
21 restraining order when the moving party provides specific facts showing that immediate and
22 irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result before the adverse party's opposition to a motion for
23 preliminary injunction can be heard. The Supreme Court has stated that courts must consider the
24 following factors in determining whether to issue a temporary restraining order and preliminary
25 injunction: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) possibility of irreparable injury if
26 preliminary relief is not granted; (3) balance of hardships; and (4) advancement of the public interest.
27 *Winter v. N.R.D.C.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008).
28

1 Plaintiff's requested relief is too vague to be appropriate for injunctive relief. Further, similar
2 to plaintiff's last motion for a temporary restraining order, plaintiff has not made a sufficient
3 showing under the four *Winter* factors. (See Docs. #6 and #8). Plaintiff has not demonstrated, or
4 even alleged, a likelihood of success on the merits. While plaintiff has alleged irreparable harm, it
5 is unclear how plaintiff's requested relief would address the possibility of irreparable injury. Finally,
6 plaintiff has not made any showing under the balance of hardships and advancement of the public
7 interest factors. (Doc. #8).

8 Accordingly,

9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that *pro se* plaintiff Anthony
10 J. Brodzki's motion for temporary restraining order (doc. #8) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

11 DATED February 22, 2012.

12
13 
14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**